

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/520,927	03/08/2000	Edward J. Cleary JR.	6960 US	1687
75	590 11/20/2002			
Francis I Gray			EXAMINER	
Tektronix Inc PO Box 500			YANG, RYAN R	
Delivery Station 50-LAW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Beaverton, OR 97077			2672	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

			<i>₩</i>			
: ,•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/520,927	CLEARY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ryan R Yang	2672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1, 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-15</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 6			

Application/Control Number: 09/520,927 Page 2

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 10/3/02.
 This action is final.

- 2. Claims 1-15 are pending in this application. Claim 1 is independent claims. In the Amendment, filed on 10/3/02, claims 1, 4 and 6-15 were amended.
- 3. The present title of the invention is "Surround Sound Display" as filed originally.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Greenberger (US 5,870,484).

As per claim 1, Greenberger discloses a surround sound display representing a plurality of sound channels comprising:

a sound stage image (Figure 14b);

a correlation meter scale for each sound channel of the sound stage image that has a corresponding sound channel to form a stereo sound source (Figure 14b where the directivity pattern can be the result of two speaker array configure such as Figure 15a); and

Application/Control Number: 09/520,927 Page 3

Art Unit: 2672

markers related to the correlation meter scale that represent the correlation between the corresponding sound channels (Figure 14b has the marker).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greennerger as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gibson (US 5,812,688).

As per claim 2, Bradford demonstrated all the elements as applied in the rejection of independent claim 1, supra.

Greenberger discloses a surround sound display representing a plurality of sound channels. It is noted that Greenberger does not explicitly disclose the sound stage image comprises speaker images positioned at appropriate positions of the display to represent sound sources, however, this is known in the art as taught by Gibson. Gibson discloses a visual image representation method of audio signals in which the speaker images are positioned at a appropriate positions of the display to represent sound source (Figure 4).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Gibson into Bradford because Bradford discloses a display method to display correlation of sound and Gibson discloses a method of displaying the sound source in order to closely simulate the aural environment.

Application/Control Number: 09/520,927 Page 4

Art Unit: 2672

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/520,927

Art Unit: 2672

Page 5

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Inquiries

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Yang whose telephone number is (703) 308-6133.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Michael Razavi**, can be reached at **(703) 305-4713**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 09/520,927

Art Unit: 2672

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 305-47000377.

Ryan Yang November 10, 2002

> MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600